Claims 1-4 are pending. Reconsideration and allowance based on the comments below

are respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Takaoka (JP 2002-

051156A) in view of Miki et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0029552). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that Takaoka fails to teach "that the mobile phone requests the

monitored image or model information." The Examiner relies upon Miki to provide this teaching

absent in Takaoka. Applicant respectfully submits that Miki fails to remedy Takaoka's

deficiencies.

Miki teaches a system in which images are acquired and stored by an image transmitter.

The image transmitter, such as scanner or digital camera, is connected to the mobile phone via a

cable or other means. The image transmitter initiates communication between the mobile phone

and image transmitter by sending a connection start signal. See paragraph [0053].

The image transmitter also initiates a request for model information from the mobile

phone for conversion purposes. See paragraph [0054]. The image transmitter also performs

conversion and then again request transmission to the mobile phone of the converted image. See

paragraph [0058].

In the system of Miki, it is the image transmitter that initiates all requests with the mobile

phone. The mobile phone transmits data based on these requests. However, the mobile phone

does not send any request for an image or initiates sending model information for performing

conversion of the requested image.

2

MKM/CJB/ei

In contrast, in Applicant's claimed invention, it is the mobile phone which initiates the

request for the image and also initiates sending of model information.

Thus, Miki fails to teach or suggests a receiving circuit for receiving mobile telephone

module information and an image view request transmitted from a mobile telephone having a

display device, as recited in claim 1; and receiving mobile telephone model information in an

image view request transmitted from a mobile telephone having a display device, as recited in

claims 3 and 4. (Emphasis added.)

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Takaoka and Miki fall

to teach each feature of Applicant's indepedent claims as required. Accordingly, reconsideration

and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

For at least the reasons above that it is respectfully submitted claims 1-4 are

distinguishable over the cited art. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly

solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad J. Billings, Reg. No. 48,917,

at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

3

MKM/CJB/ei

Reply to Office Action of December 31, 2007

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 29, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By _____Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0905-0291P

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

4

MKM/CJB/ej